| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 09/773,245 | HAMELEERS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ian N. Moore | 2616 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Ian N. Moore</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Sidney L. Weatherford. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 May 2006 | Time: <u>10:26 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: 42,43,51,52,62,71 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE as set forth in examiner amendment | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT \ | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | • |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a variety record of the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| · . | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| In N mme | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |